Application No. 10/724,690 Amendment dated June 21, 2006 Reply to Office Action of March 21, 2006

## **AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

Attached is a new sheet of drawing.

Attachment: New sheet

Docket No.: 1381-0304P

Docket No.: 1381-0304P

**REMARKS** 

Claims 8-17 are pending. By this response, claims 1-7 are cancelled and claims 8-17 are

added. This response also add a new Title, Abstract, drawings (Fig. 1) and amends the

specification. Reconsideration and allowance based on the above amendments and following

remarks are respectfully requested.

<u>Title</u>

The Office Action requires a new Title more descriptive of the invention. In response,

Applicants have provided the following new Title, "Method of Integrating Telecommunication

Services With Services Performed For One or More Automatic Devices", which is more

descriptive of the invention. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to the Title is respectfully

requested.

<u>Abstract</u>

The Office Action objects to the Abstract because line 11 contains the term "comprises."

In response, Applicants have provided a new Abstract, which addresses this issue by removing

this term. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

**Drawings** 

The Office Action alleges that the subject matter of the application admits of illustration

by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention and requires a drawing under 37 CFR

1.81(c). In response, Applicants have provided Fig. 1. A corresponding description of Fig. 1 is

added to the specification. Applicants respectfully submit that the features of Fig. 1 do not add

any new matter. Applicants submit that the application is now in compliance with 37 CFR

1.81(c).

MKM/CJB/bad

8

Docket No.: 1381-0304P

**Claim Objections** 

The Office Action objects to claims 4-7 under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper

multiple dependent form. Claims 4-7 have been cancelled. Accordingly, the objection is now

moot. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 112, Second Paragraph

The Office Action rejects claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being

indefinite. Claims 1-3 have been cancelled. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is

respectfully requested.

**Prior Art Rejections** 

The Office Action rejects claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by

Wilson (USP 6,578,675) and claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by

Hamada (2002/0173970). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 1-7 have been cancelled. Accordingly, the rejections in view of these claims are

now moot. Withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

Although the rejections are moot, Applicants provide the following remarks with respect

to the novelty of the newly added claims over the cited references, Wilson and Hamada.

In embodiments of the present invention, a business/company contracts with a client to

install automatic devices such as an elevator, escalator and door, etc. and performs the

monitoring and maintenance of the devices. The business also installs telecommunication

equipment in the automatic devices and monitoring equipment for monitoring the

telecommunication equipment and automatic device. The business then establishes a network

service and handles the third party service arrangements. The business will also perform the

monitoring and operation of the telecommunication equipment and automatic devices. The

business then invoices in a single bill all services rendered to the client. Therefore, a client only

has to contract with the business to obtain all the above advantages of installation and monitoring

of automatic devices and telecommunication equipment and services in the devices.

In contrast, Wilson teaches an elevator on which a business installs a computer, monitor

and camera. The elevator is connected to a mainframe network server via a telephone line. The

server sends advertising messages to the elevator to be displayed on the monitor. The system

provider performs the installation, maintenance/service and billing of the advertising system. The

system provider, however, does not perform installation, monitoring and service of the elevator

itself and the telecommunication equipment along with providing a single bill for all of the above

services, installation and monitoring.

Hamada teaches a system in which a customer can contact a service company via a

network and submit a particular service plan for an existing elevator. The service plan may

include maintenance and monitoring of the system. The company can connect to the customer

via a network (telephone, data line, etc.). The service system of Hamada, however, does not

include installation and maintenance of the telecommunication equipment or communication

network connections and the installation of the elevators themselves.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is in condition

for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

CONCLUSION

If the Examiner has any questions concerning this application, the Examiner is requested

to contact Chad J. Billings, Reg. No. 41,345 at the telephone number of (703) 205-8000.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

MKM/CJB/bad

Docket No.: 1381-0304P

10

Application No. 10/724,690 Amendment dated June 21, 2006 Reply to Office Action of March 21, 2006

additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: June 22, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

for Michael K. Mutter

Registration No.: 29,680

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 1381-0304P

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachments:

1 page of Drawings (Fig. 1)